

Protocol of the analysis of the Similarity report

made up by the scientific supervisor

I confirm that I have studied the full Similarity report which has been generated by the system of identification and prevention of plagiarism concerning the work:

Author: ALEXANDER NESKE

Title: Managing sustainability-related complexity in supply chains: A capability-based managerial framework for organizational response

Scientific consultants: Ilona Bordiyanu, Hans-Christian Brauweiler

Similarity coefficient 1: 7.49

Similarity coefficient 2: 4.17

Microspaces: 0

Foreign alphabet: 0

Spreads: 0

White characters: 0

AI content: 20%

☒ The borrowings found in the work are conscientious and have no signs of plagiarism. In this connection, I recognize the work as independent and I allow this work to be presented for the defense.

☐ The borrowings found in the work have no signs of plagiarism, but their excessive quantity raises doubts in the relation of value of the work in general and the lack of independence of its author. In this connection, this work has to be reedited in order to lower the number of the borrowings.

☐ The borrowings found in the work are unconscionable and have signs of plagiarism, or it contains the deliberate distortions of the text, indicating attempts to conceal the unfair borrowings. In this connection, I don't allow this work to be presented for the defense.

Justification:

Date



Head of Department